1 2 3	Matthew W.H. Wessler* Michael Skocpol* GUPTA WESSLER LLP	
	2001 K Street NW, Suite 850 North Washington, DC 20006	
4	Telephone: (202) 888-1741	
5	matt@guptawessler.com	
6	Anthony Paronich	
7	PARONICH LAW PC 350 Lincoln Street, Suite 2400	
8	Hingham, MA 02043	
9	Telephone: (508) 221-1510 anthony@paronichlaw.com	
10	Counsel for Plaintiff Chet Michael Wilson	
12	Counsel for I tulniff Chel Michael Wilson	
13	*admitted pro hac vice	
14	IN THE HAITED OT ATI	EC DICTRICT COLUDT
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
1617	Chet Michael Wilson, individually and as representatives of the class,	Case No. 2:25-cv-01481-MTL
18	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF
19	V.	SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY
20	Mountainside Fitness Acquisition LLC,	
21	Defendant.	
22		<u> </u>
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 2:25-cv-01481-MTL Document 24 Filed 11/24/25 Page 1 of 4

Plaintiff Chet Michael Wilson submits this notice of supplemental authority to alert the Court to *Mujahid v. Newity, LLC*, 2025 WL 3140725 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 10, 2025), a decision issued recently that bears on the pending motion to dismiss in this case, ECF 13.

Mujahid holds that "a 'telephone call' as used in [47 U.S.C.] § 227(c) encompasses ... text messages," because "nothing in the text, structure, or purpose of the TCPA suggests a distinction between an unsolicited voice call and an unsolicited written text message." 2025 WL 3140725, at *3. In reaching that conclusion, the court recognized that text messages "fall[] within" "the plain meaning of the phrase 'telephone call." Id. at *2. Mujahid also concludes that interpreting § 227(c) to encompass text messages is further supported by: the fact that the word "call" encompasses text messages in § 227(b); the broader "purpose and context" of § 227(c) and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act generally, including the statute's capacious definition of "telephone solicitations"; the FCC's interpretation of the word "call"; and "the weight of authority" among other district courts to have considered this issue. Id. at *2–3.

Mujahid's result and reasoning therefore support Mr. Wilson's position that the pending motion to dismiss should be denied.

A copy of the order in *Mujahid* is attached as **Exhibit A**.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 24th day of November, 2025,

Matthew W.H. Wessler*
Michael Skocpol*
GUPTA WESSLER LLP
2001 K Street NW, Suite 850
North
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 888-1741
michael@guptawessler.com

Anthony Paronich PARONICH LAW PC 350 Lincoln Street, Suite 2400 Hingham, MA 02043

	Case 2:25-cv-01481-MTL	Document 24	Filed 11/24/25 Page 3 of 4
1			Telephone: (508) 221-1510
2			anthony@paronichlaw.com
3			By: /s/ Michael Skocpol
4			Michael Skocpol
5			Counsel for Plaintiff and the
6			Proposed Class
7			*admitted pro hac vice
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
26			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 24, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing notice of supplemental authority was served by CM/ECF to the parties registered to the Court's CM/ECF system.

Dated: November 24, 2025 By: /s/ Michael Skocpol

Michael Skocpol